

CLARIFICATION NO. 6

To the RFP Document for the procurement of **Design, Development and Implementation of the Integrated Agricultural Information Platform (IAIP)**,
including the **State-owned Land Management Information System (SALMIS)**

RFP No.: AMP-GO-RFP-SC2-1-3

III
Бр.-Мр. 146-6188/13
30.07.2026 год. - в/в.е.
ГЛАВНОЕ - БИЗНЕС

TO ALL FIRMS THAT HAVE OBTAINED THE RFP DOCUMENT

Dear Sir/Madam,

With reference to the stated subject, please be informed that the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Economy, with reference to the question raised by a prospective Proposer and pursuant to Instructions to Proposers, ITP 7.1, hereby provides clarification to all Proposers.

This Clarification No. 6 does not amend the RFP.

Please find enclosed the Clarification Table with the question received and the answer given.

Yours sincerely,

Project Director,
Anita Pavlovska

Attachment: Clarification Table

CLARIFICATION TABLE				
No. of Question	Question	Reference in the RFP Document	No. of Answer	Answer
1	We have noted that the Technical Specifications for the Next Generation Firewall include several feature names, such as App-ID, Machine Learning, DNS Security, Anti-Spyware and others, which are widely recognized as proprietary product terminology associated with a specific firewall vendor. Could you please clarify whether the Purchaser intends to require these proprietary technologies specifically, or whether solutions from other manufacturers providing equivalent functionality, performance and security capabilities under different product names will be considered fully compliant? If equivalent technologies are acceptable, kindly confirm that compliance will be evaluated based on functional capabilities and technical performance rather than the use of identical proprietary feature names.	Section I – Instructions to Proposers: ITP 16.2(c), ITP 16.2(d) and ITP 16.3; Annex 1 – Technical Specifications: Section 2.3 – ICT Infrastructure Environment; Section 2.3.1 – Firewalls; requirement ICT.01.01.	1	The Purchaser confirms that the proprietary feature names referenced in the Technical Specifications, including App-ID, Machine Learning, DNS Security, Anti-Spyware and similar terminology, shall not be interpreted as a requirement for any specific manufacturer, brand or product. NGFW solutions from other manufacturers offering substantially equivalent or superior functionality, performance and security capabilities under different product names or terminology shall be considered compliant, provided that they meet all applicable requirements of the RFP Document. Compliance will be evaluated based on the demonstrated functional and technical capabilities of the proposed solution, rather than on the use of identical proprietary feature names. The Proposer shall provide appropriate manufacturer-issued technical documentation, together with a clear item-by-item compliance mapping and explicit cross-references to the relevant supporting documentation for each applicable requirement. For the avoidance of doubt, a general statement of compliance, without sufficient supporting technical evidence, will not be considered adequate for the purposes of the technical evaluation.
				Clarification

CLARIFICATION TABLE					
No. of Question	Question	Reference in the RFP Document	No. of Answer	Answer	Clarification or Amendment
2	We request clarification regarding the Server Requirement “≥256 VFs (virtual functions)” under item ICT.02.01, Section 2.3.2 – Servers, within Section 2.3 – ICT Infrastructure Environment of the Technical Requirements. Could you please confirm whether this requirement refers to the PCI Express Single Root I/O Virtualization (SR-IOV) specification, which allows up to 256 Virtual Functions (VFs) per PCIe device? This clarification is requested because the official specifications of enterprise GPU manufacturers typically state the actual number of supported Virtual Functions for each GPU model, which may be lower than the maximum value defined by the PCIe SR-IOV specification while remaining fully compliant with the SR-IOV standard.	Annex 1 – Technical Specifications: Section 2.3 – ICT Infrastructure Environment; Section 2.3.2 – Servers: Detailed Server Requirements, item ICT.02.01.	2	The responsibility for clearly demonstrating the equivalence or superiority of the proposed solution rests with the Proposer. This clarification confirms the application of ITP 16.3 of the RFP Document and does not constitute an amendment to the RFP requirements. The Purchaser confirms that the requirement “≥256 VFs (Virtual Functions)” refers to the PCIe Single Root I/O Virtualization (SR-IOV) capability of the proposed GPU and to the maximum number of Virtual Functions officially supported by the GPU, as stated in the manufacturer's documentation. The requirement does not imply that all 256 Virtual Functions must be configured or used simultaneously within the IASP environment. However, the proposed GPU must support at least 256 VFs as a technical capability, in order to ensure the required level of GPU virtualization capacity and operational consistency within MAFWE's existing VMware-based infrastructure. Solutions from any manufacturer shall be considered acceptable, provided that they meet this minimum requirement and all other mandatory functional, performance, compatibility and technical requirements set out in the Technical Specifications.	Clarification